

Modeling the Logarithmic and Exponential Transformation Algorithms for Optical Density in Decision Support Models and Methods

BOHDAN DURNYAK¹, MIKOLA LUTSKIV¹, PETRO SHEPITA¹ AND INNA POLISHCHUK²

¹UKRAINIAN ACADEMY OF PRINTING, PID GOLOSKOM STR., 19, LVIV, 79020, UKRAINE

²UZHHOROD NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, NARODNA SQUARE, 3, UZHHOROD, 88000, UKRAINE



Introduction

Logarithmic and exponential transformation algorithms for optical density are used in image processing to enhance contrast and image quality. Both algorithms rely on fundamental mathematical principles and utilize the logarithmic and exponential functions, respectively.

The logarithmic transformation algorithm is based on the natural logarithmic function. The main idea is to compress high optical density values, reducing them, while expanding low density values, increasing them. This allows for a more detailed image with improved contrast.

The exponential transformation algorithm utilizes the exponential function to enhance image contrast. This algorithm increases the optical density value based on the input value, highlighting details where the optical density is low.

Modeling the logarithmic and exponential transformation algorithms involves steps such as loading the image with optical density, computing the logarithmic or exponential transformation based on the selected algorithm, adjusting constants to achieve the desired level of contrast, and saving the modified image with improved contrast.

By using modeling techniques for these algorithms, one can investigate their impact on image quality and find optimal constant values for different types of images and scenarios

Problem Statement

In the historical evolution of photographic processes and images, the attenuation of light flux began to be defined, and the reflection (or transmission) of light flux was quantified using the reflection coefficient. To quantitatively evaluate the light attenuation characteristics of an object, the decimal logarithm of opacity, known as optical density, was introduced. Later, this method was applied in printing to determine the darkness level of images on prints based on the light reflection from the image surface (scales), and the assessment was performed using optical density as the decimal logarithm of the reflection coefficient [1, 3, 7, 9-16]. However, certain sources [7, 8, 9, 22, 25] point out its drawbacks, such as significant errors in measuring small and large optical densities and the inconsistency of the logarithmic algorithm in relation to the human visual system's perception of optical density. Nevertheless, there is a lack of sufficient evidence and analysis regarding this expression and its characteristics. Therefore, modeling the logarithmic and exponential transformation algorithms for optical density is an important task.

Presentation of the main research material

Optical measurements are used in the field of printing for controlling originals, printing plates, printed impressions, and more. For this purpose, densitometers (density - the ability of an object to absorb light) are used, which are devices designed to measure the intensity of transmitted or reflected light. They are used to determine optical density, relative area of printing elements, thickness of ink layers, image contrast, and more [1, 7, 19, and 21]. The optical density of darkened (printed) areas on the original (impression) is quantitatively assessed based on the optical density of reflection. According to ISO standard 5-2, optical density of reflection is determined by the decimal logarithm of the reciprocal of the reflection coefficient [7, 23-28].

$$D_0 = \lg \frac{1}{R_0} \text{ if } 0 \leq R_0 \leq 1, \quad (1)$$

The reflection coefficient R_0 is the ratio of the reflected light flux F_p from the object under measurement to the intensity of the incident flux F_0 that falls onto the object under measurement.

$$R_0 = \frac{F_p}{F_0}, \quad (2)$$

If the entire light flux is reflected from the image (target), its tenth, hundredth, or thousandth part, then the optical density will be 0, 1, 2, 3. Substituting the coefficient (2) into equation (1), we obtain an expression for determining the reflected optical density.

$$D_0 = \lg \frac{F_0}{F_p}, \quad (3)$$


Expression (1) represents a mathematical logarithmic algorithm for determining the reflected optical density, while expression (3) represents an algorithm for the hardware implementation of a device to determine optical density and its modeling. Since the logarithmic algorithm for determining optical density has several drawbacks mentioned above, an alternative exponential algorithm for determining reflected optical density is proposed.

$$D_e = D_n(\exp(-bR_0)), \text{ if } 0 \leq R_0 \leq 1, \quad (4)$$

D_n - nominal value of optical density,


b - Coefficient that determines the shape of the curve and is chosen within the range ($6 \leq b \leq 9$).

Based on the above, structural schemes of optical density models have been developed using the MATLAB Simulink package, which allow for parallel computation of reflected optical density using the logarithmic algorithm (3) and the exponential algorithm (4) and are depicted in Figure 1.




Structural diagrams of optical density models with logarithmic and exponential algorithms

The model parameters were set for the nominal optical density $D_n = 3.0$, $b = 7$, and other parameters are directly provided in Figure 1. The simulation results of the optical densities calculated using different algorithms are depicted in Figure




The reflection optical densities determined using different algorithms

The characteristic of optical density 1, determined using the logarithmic algorithm, is a logarithmic curve with a steep slope. In the initial range, the optical density sharply decreases from $D_m = 3.0$ to $D_0 = 0.85$ at $R_0 = 0.15$, and then gradually decreases, almost linearly, towards zero. On the other hand, the characteristic of optical density 2, determined using the potential algorithm, has a significantly smaller slope at the beginning of the range and gradually approaches zero. It should be noted that the characteristics are practically indistinguishable in the highlight tones. For comparison, the deviations of optical densities determined using different algorithms are shown in Figure



Deviations of optical densities determined using different algorithms.

To determine the sensitivity in the model, Derivative blocks were used, which are located at the bottom of the diagram. As an example, the sensitivity of the optical density algorithms for small values of reflection coefficients was determined, as shown in Figure 4 in close-up.




Graphical dependence of the sensitivity of optical densities for different algorithms

At nominal values of optical density $D=3.0$ for the logarithmic algorithm of optical density, the maximum sensitivity value is $S_m=300$, gradually decreasing. At a coefficient $R_0=0.02$, the sensitivity of optical density leads to significant errors in determining individual parameters using densitometry methods. On the other hand, the sensitivity of the exponential algorithm is practically constant and close to $-25-30$. Therefore, in terms of sensitivity, the proposed exponential algorithm significantly outperforms the logarithmic one.

As mentioned above, optical density does not always correspond to visual perception based on Weber-Fechner's law, which describes the human visual system's perception of light. Since the reproduction of images by printing methods is done using black ink, which is predominantly described by optical density, it does not directly take into account the properties of the human visual system. Later, it was established that Weber-Fechner's law, which is psychophysical and describes the perception of physical quantities by sensory organs, is valid, for example, for the human perception of sound volume, light intensity, mechanical force. In other words, sensation is proportional to the logarithm of the stimulus intensity [2, 4, 8, 27, 30, and 36]. Since Weber-Fechner's law is psychophysical and describes the perception of physical quantities by sensory organs, it is logical to assume that it is valid for the perception of optical density, which the human visual system can distinguish on an elementary monochromatic image plane. Based on the above, by analogy with Weber-Fechner's law, a model of visual perception intensity of optical density can be formulated [6, 14, 31, 32, and 34].

$$W = C - n \lg\left(\frac{D_n}{D_0} + 1\right) \quad (7)$$

Where D_n – the nominal value of optical density, D_0 – the threshold of optical density discrimination, n is the number of discrimination thresholds, 1 is introduced for initial offset, and C – an integration constant dependent on initial conditions [4, 15, 33, 35]. The models of visual perception intensity (7) are implemented using mathematical function blocks Fcn3 and Fcn4 for different algorithms of optical density. We set the maximum value of optical density $D_n=3.0$, $D_0=0.7$, and chose the number of discrimination thresholds $n=24$, and integration constant $C=38$. The results of simulation modeling of intensity perception characteristics for different algorithms of optical density are shown in Figure |



Characteristics of visual perception intensity for different algorithms of optical density

Conclusions

A model of traditional logarithmic and exponential algorithms has been developed to determine the optical density of monochrome halftone images within a specified density range in offset printing. The algorithms are presented in mathematical expressions as hardware implementation algorithms for a measuring device. The sensitivity of the algorithms to changes in optical density is determined using derivatives.

An extension of Weber-Fechner's law, which describes the perception of various physical quantities by human sensory organs and is proportional to the logarithm of stimulus intensity, is applied to perceive optical density by the human visual system. A model of visual perception intensity for optical density is proposed, enabling the determination and construction of perception characteristics for different algorithms of optical density determination.

Structural diagrams of optical density models in MATLAB: Simulink have been processed, allowing for parallel computation of reflection optical density using logarithmic and exponential algorithms. The deviations and the dependence of optical density sensitivity, leading to significant errors in determining individual parameters using densitometry methods, are determined.

The results of simulation modeling indicate that the characteristic of optical density determined by the logarithmic algorithm has a steep slope in the shadows, while the characteristic determined by the exponential algorithm has a significantly gentler slope, resulting in a maximum deviation of 27.5%. The maximum sensitivity value of optical density is determined as $S_m = -300$, leading to significant errors in determining individual parameters using densitometric methods. However, the sensitivity of the exponential algorithm is practically constant and close to 25...30. The characteristic of intensity perception determined by the logarithmic algorithm does not comply with Weber-Fechner's law. In contrast, the characteristic of perception for the exponential algorithm complies with Weber-Fechner's law throughout the entire tonal range.